

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,681	05/11/2001	Ryo Ono	0229-0642P	-0642P 4832	
2292	7590 10/28/2003		EXAMINER		
2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EWART KOLASCH &	& BIRCH	VARGOT, M	IATHIEU D	
2	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1732		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		X		Dr
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		7
Office Action Summary	09/852,691 Examiner	<u> </u>	Group Art Unit	-{
	M.VAR60	T	1732	/
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal	rs on the cover sheet be	eneath the c	omespondence a	nddress—
Priod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE M	AILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defail Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	n reply within the statutory min uit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tatute, cause the application t	imum of thirty (om the mailing o o become ABA	30) days will be con: date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. ication. § 133).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•
☐ This action is FIMAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			to the merits is	closed in
Disposition of Claims				
(Claim(s) 1-12	is/are	$_{-}$ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)				onsideration.
□ Claim(s)				
X Claim(s) 1 − / 2		is/are i	ejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			-	
☐ Claim(s)				or election
application Papers		require	•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	· •	☐ disapprov	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	ected to by the Examiner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	⊢(d).		
All □ Some* □ None of the:				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been		_	•	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		0	•	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen in this national stage application from the Internation. 		(a))		
*Certified copies not received:	•			_
\ttachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)3 □ Ir	nt ryjew Sum	mary, PTO-413	
Motice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			mal Pat nt Applic	eation DTO_15
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-94			mai Pat Tit Applic	
			·	
Office #	Action Summary		•	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,681

Art Unit: 1732

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Kobayashi (see col. 2, lines 18-32).

Kobayashi discloses the instant process of molding and curing an elastomeric tire in a mold by first heating using steam at a pressure of 14 kg/cm², pressurizing with an inert gas at a higher pressure (20 kg/cm²) and repeating the heating and pressurizing steps again in another cycle. This would encompass the instant steps of softening by heating, pressing and changing the pressure of the fluid in a short cycle as set forth in instant claim 1. The number of cycles disclosed in Kobayashi would include at least two cycles of changing the pressure as required in instant claims 3-6. The heating medium is steam and the pressurizing medium is nitrogen (ie, an inert gas) as required by instant claims 10 and 11. Given that the cycles used in Kobayashi act to eliminate trapped air within the tire and between the tire and mold surfaces, it is submitted inherent that the cycles act to "beat" --or further press-- the tire against the mold as it is vulcanized. Also, within the first cycle, the pressurizing gas is fed after 2 minutes and in the second cycle, the gas is fed after 1 minute. It is submitted that this would include the aspect of the pressure being changed "in a short cycle".

Application/Control Number: 09/852,681

Art Unit: 1732

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi,

either alone, or further in view of Hugger (see col. 8, line 71 through col. 9, line 51). The primary reference discloses the basic claimed process as set forth in paragraph 1, supra, lacking essentially the aspect of the cycle of the change in the pressure being of very short duration—ie, a decrease of short duration of one minute or less followed by an increase of similar short duration of one minute or less. This in essence reads on pulsing the pressure fluid. It is submitted that pulsing the pressure fluid in Kobayashi would have been an obvious feature to ensure that condensate does not accumulate in the tire or curing bladder and interfere with the ongoing vulcanization. It is further noted that Hugger discloses pulsing steam into a curing bladder to maintain the desired pressure therein and to eliminate standing condensate. Based on the disclosure of Hugger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to pulse the pressure fluid to ensure that condensate is not left stagnant. The exact amount of time between the pulses would have been within the skill level of the art and readily determined through routine experimentation as the curing cycle is optimized.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/852,681

Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3.

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

September 25, 2003

MATHIEU D. VARGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/15/03